

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference SJB/PG5042 | | | ent's file reference | FOR FURTHER ACTIO | ON | | n of Transmittal of Internati amination Report (Form PC | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------|--|
| International application No. PCT/EP 03/13800 | | | | International filing date (day) 04.12.2003 | mon | th/year) | Priority date (day/month/) 06.12.2002 | year) | |
| | mations 7D409 | | ent Classification (IPC) or b | oth national classification and l | PC | | | | |
| | licant AXO | GRO | UP LIMITED | | | | | | |
| 1. | This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | This | This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet. | | | | | | | |
| This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Author (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). | | | | | | | | | |
| These annexes consist of a total of sheets. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | This | This report contains indications relating to the following items: | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | Ø | Basis of the opinion | | | | | | |
| | ŧ | | Priority | | | | | | |
| | III | \boxtimes | • | opinion with regard to nove | ty, ir | nventive step a | and industrial applicability | v | |
| | IV Lack of unity of invention | | | | | · | | | |
| | ٧ | Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | | | | |
| | VI | | Certain documents cite | ed | | | | | |
| | VII | | Certain defects in the i | nternational application | | | | | |
| • | . VIII | . | . Certain observations o | n the international applicati | on | | | • | |
| Date | of sub | missio | on of the demand | Da | te of | completion of th | is report | | |
| 16.0 | 16.06.2004 | | | 20 | 20.12.2004 | | | | |
| | Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: | | | | thori | zed Officer | | And the Petrology | |
| _ | 9)) | D-1 | ropean Patent Office - Gitso 10958 Berlin I. +49 30 25901 - 0 x: +49 30 25901 - 840 | Fr | elon | n, D one No. +49 30 2 | 25901-312 | | |
| | | , 4 | | 16 | Pil | /// HU, T-10 JU Z | .0001:012 | and a sing . | |

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/13800

| Basis of the re |
|-------------------------------------|
|-------------------------------------|

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

| | Des | Description, Pages | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | 1-2 | 0 | as originally filed | | | | |
| | Cla | ims, Numbers | | | | | |
| | 1-1 | 8 | as originally filed | | | | |
| | Dra | wings, Sheets | | | | | |
| | 1-2 | | as originally filed | | | | |
| 2. | | With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. | | | | | |
| | The | ese elements were av | railable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: | , which is: | | | |
| | | the language of a tra | anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (u | nder Rule 23.1(b)). | | | |
| | | the language of pub | lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). | | | | |
| | | the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55. | anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary ex 3). | kamination (under | | | |
| 3. | With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: | | | | | | |
| | | contained in the inte | rnational application in written form. | | | | |
| | | filed together with th | e international application in computer readable form. | | | | |
| | | ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. | | | | | |
| | | ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. | | | | | |
| | | The statement that t in the international a | he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go bapplication as filed has been furnished. | eyond the disclosure | | | |
| | | The statement that t listing has been furn | he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to ished. | the written sequence | | | |
| 4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: | | | | | | | |
| | | the description, | pages: | | | | |
| | | the claims, | Nos.: | | | | |
| | | the drawings, | sheets: | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/13800

| 5. | | This report has been establish been considered to go beyond | hed as | if (some of) lisclosure as | the amendments had not been made, since they have filed (Rule 70.2(c)). | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | (Any replacement sheet conta report.) | aining : | such amendi | ments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this | | | |
| 6. | Add | litional observations, if necessa | ary: | | | | | |
| III. | Noi | n-establishment of opinion w | ith re | gard to nove | elty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | |
| 1. | The obv | questions whether the claime ious), or to be industrially appli | d invei cable | ntion appears have not bee | s to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- en examined in respect of: | | | |
| ☐ the entire international application, | | | | | | | | |
| | ☒ | claims Nos. 18 | | | | | | |
| | | because: | | | | | | |
| the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 18 with regards to industrial application following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination | | | | | | | | |
| | | see separate sheet | | | | | | |
| the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclease that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): | | | | | icular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear cify): | | | |
| | | the claims, or said claims Noscould be formed. | . are s | o inadequate | ely supported by the description that no meaningful opinion | | | |
| | Ø | no international search report applicability | has be | een establish | ed for the said claims Nos. 18 with regards to industrial | | | |
| A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the ror amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Adminis Instructions: | | | | | | | | |
| | | the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard. | | | | | | |
| | | the computer readable form h | as not | been furnish | ed or does not comply with the Standard. | | | |
| V. | | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | | | |
| 1. | Stat | atement | | | | | | |
| | Nov | elty (N) | Yes: No: | Claims Claims | 1-18 | | | |
| | Inventive step (IS) | | | Claims Claims | 1-18 | | | |
| | Indu | strial applicability (IA) | Yes: No: | Claims Claims | 1-17 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/13800

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item III

Claim 18 is directed to methods for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery or therapy as well as diagnostic methods. It relates to a subject-matter considered by this authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claims (Article 34 (4) (a)(i) PCT).

Under the terms of Rule 39.1(iv) PCT, the ISA was not required to carry out a search of such claims, but as indicated in the ISR, the search was carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compounds. Similarly, the IPEA (which is the ISA) is not required to carry out an International preliminary examination of such claims, but as for the ISR, the IPER will be based on the alleged effects of the compounds (Rule 67.1 (iv) PCT).

Re Item V

1. Cited documents

D1: WO 02/100830 A (SHAH GITA PUNJABHAI; YOUNG ROBERT JOHN (GB); SENGER STEFAN (GB); CHAN) 19 December 2002 - intermediate document

D2: WO 02/100886 A (SHAH GITA PUNJABHAI; YOUNG ROBERT JOHN (GB); SENGER STEFAN (GB); CHAN) 19 December 2002 - intermediate document

2. Novelty

The intermediate documents D1 and D2 are relevant for the purposes of Rules 33.1 c, 64.3 and 70.10 PCT, but since the priority documents are not available at the time of establishing the written opinion, they are cannot be taken into account. It is based on the assumption that all claims enjoy priority rights from the filing date of the priority document(s). If it later turns out that this assumption is not correct, the intermediate document in the International Search Report (ISR) could become relevant in order to assess whether the claims satisfy the criteria set forth in Article 33(1) PCT. If the priority date is not valid for the complete claimed subject-matter, this document may

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

become relevant prior art in a possible regional/national phase.

Note that the compound the formula of which is given in the main claim is disclosed in D1 (example 61) and D2 (example 386), in particular as a "white solid". No crystalline form appears to have been specifically previously disclosed.

The applicant has shown that the compound in question can be crystallized by using a given method. It is usually known by the skilled person that crystallizable compounds may form various crystal types depending on the methods of obtention (the "form" needle- or lath-shape- is a macroscopic aspect which is not always necessarily -unless contrarily proved- characteristic of a crystal type). The crystal obtained is therefore not "any" crystal but a particular type defined and recognized by specific physical characteristics as shown by an X-ray powder diffraction pattern in particular processing conditions, especially the apparatus.

These conditions belong to a proper crystal definition and should be supplemented in the main claim. It is additionally mentioned that the term "substantially" as it affects the essential criterion of the claimed subject-matter, i.e. the crystalline form, requires to be unambiguous. A clear support exists in the description.

3. Inventive step

- 3.1 The problem underlying the present application was to provide a crystalline form of a Factor Xa inhibiting agent claimed in an intermediate patent application.
- 3.2 It belongs to the usual routine (general knowledge) of the skilled person to look for and obtain crystalline forms of known compounds, especially medicaments, because advantages can be expected for their storage or their dispensing (biological availibility, medicine formulation, etc).

Nothing is said in the prior art that any prejudice would have prevent the skilled person from making a crystal starting from a compound known in solid form. Neither is said which particular and surprising effect (possibly an unexpected advantage) is brought by the presently claimed unspecific "substantially crystalline form". On the contrary, the



EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

specification of the crystal by its physico-chemical characteristics would allow to identify the product unambiguously in such a way that it could not be considered as directly derivable from the teaching of the general kowledge of skilled persons. These so specified distinguishing features constitute in fact the unexpected effect required for the acknowledgment of an inventive step.